

240 (2 cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27733-2017

Date of decision : 30.08.2022

Krishan Lal Dhingra

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CRM-M-43342-2017

Raj Rani

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Tarun Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-27733-2017).

Mr. Sukhpreet S. Kang, Advocate for
Mr. Tanvir S. Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-43342-2017.

Mr. R.K. Ambavta, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Harshvardhan Ranga, Advocate for the complainant.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

In view of the fact that the parties are already before this Court in CRM-M-11526-2022 seeking quashing on the basis of compromise, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the present case at the hands of this Court.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

A copy of this order be kept on the file of other connected case.

August 30, 2022

Dpr

(PANKAJ JAIN)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No