

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

153

COCP-803-2022

Date of Decision : 26.04.2022

Rajan Pacharwal

....Petitioner

V/S

Ms.Isha Kamboj

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present : Mr.Shailender Kashyap, Advocate for
Mr.Parshant Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.Shivendra Swaroop, AAG Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (ORAL)

Complaining violation of directions issued by the Court in CWP-2659-2022, titled as “Rajan Pacharwal Vs. State of Haryana and others”, dated 18.02.2022, the petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. The petition was disposed of with the following directions:-

“[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Prayer in the petition under Articles 226 / 227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ of Certiorari for setting aside / quashing result of the Physical Screening Test (Annexure P-5) dated 28.12.2021 to the extent of rejection of the candidature of the petitioner or in the alternative for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing respondent No.2 to pass a speaking order on representation (Annexure P-6) dated 30.12.2021 filed by the petitioner.

[3] Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

[4] Mr. Tapan Kumar, learned DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and after perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection

to the alternative prayer of the petitioner and that the representation filed by the petitioner i.e. Annexure P-6 dated 30.12.2021 would be decided by respondent No.2 within 04 weeks from today.

[5] The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.

[6] Accordingly, in the light of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-6) dated 30.12.2021, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter within 04 weeks from today.”

In reply, learned counsel for the respondent on instructions from Mr.Dikansh Goyal, Law Officer, HSSC, submits that the representation of the petitioner shall be decided within a period of one month, positively from today.

In view thereof, no further order is required to be passed.

The petitioner shall be at liberty to move an application for revival if the order is not complied with.

Disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

26.04.2022

neenu

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No