

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(204)

CRM-M-16857-2022

Date of Decision : May 09, 2022

Satnam Singh @ Satta

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Arshdeep Singh Brar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Though the present petition has been filed for the grant of regular bail, at the time of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not pressing the said prayer and will be satisfied in case the trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection for the grant of prayer of the petitioner for concluding the trial in a time bound manner.

Keeping in view the fact that out of total eleven prosecution witnesses not even a single witness has been examined so far and the

petitioner is behind the bars for the last two years and nine months, the trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and all the efforts be made to conclude the same within a period of six months from the next date of hearing fixed before the trial Court.

The petition stands disposed of.

May 09, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No