

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-8648-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 27.04.2022**

Sudhanshu Partap Singh and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. HPS Ishar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution, *inter alia*, for quashing the impugned letter dated 02.02.2022 (P-18), whereby, petitioners have been directed to furnish an undertaking.

Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioners, submits that instead of pressing the writ petition on merits at this stage, his clients would be satisfied in case, legal notice dated 20.02.2022 (P-19) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Maloo Chahal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Learned State counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioners.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without adjudicating the controversy on merits, writ petition is disposed off with

direction to respondent No.3-Registrar, Maharaja Ranjit Singh Punjab Technical University, Bathinda, to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 20.02.2022 (P-19), in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that in case there is a failure on the part of competent authority, petitioner would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for revival of this writ petition and the same shall be considered as per law.

27.04.2022*atulsethi***(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
-------------------------------	-----	----

Whether Reportable :	Yes	No
----------------------	-----	----