

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

154

**COCp-807-2022(O & M)
Date of Decision : 26.04.2022**

Neelam Kumar Mandle @ Neelam Kumar Verma @ Neelam Kumar and
another

....Petitioners

V/S

V.K.Bhawra and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present : Mr.Abhishek Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.Aditya Sharda, AAG Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (ORAL)

Complaining violation of directions issued by the Court in CWP-3404-2022, titled as “Neelam Kumar Mandle and another Vs. State of Punjab and others”, dated 22.02.2022, the petitioners have filed the present petition under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. The writ petition was disposed of with the following directions:-

“The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

The petitioners have invoked the writ jurisdiction of this Court in terms of Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus for issuance of directions to the respondents to disburse the pension funds under the National Pension Scheme of the deceased son of the petitioners, i.e. late Sh. Vikramjeet Mandle Const. No.3108/W on account of his death on 17.09.2017 while in service and in alternative to decide the legal notice dated 19.05.2021 (Annexure P-15).

Heard.

Notice of motion to the official respondents.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab puts in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of the said respondents. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to her.

Upon hearing learned counsel for both the sides and on perusal of the records, the present petition stands disposed off with direction to the official respondents to consider the legal notice dated 19.05.2021 (Annexure P15) as representation and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

If found entitled and if there is no impediment for the release of payment, the same be granted to the petitioners without any delay, as per law.

The petition stands disposed off accordingly.”

In reply, learned counsel for the respondents on instructions submits that the representation of the petitioners shall be decided within a period of three weeks, from today.

In view thereof, no further order is required to be passed.

The petitioners shall be at liberty to move an application for revival if the order is not complied with.

Disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

26.04.2022

neenu

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No