

CWP-8486-2022

Date of Decision: 26.04.2022

Hardeep Singh

....Petitioner

VS

Union of India and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Sagar Aggarwal, Advocate  
for the petitioner

Mr. Ankur Sharma, Advocate for  
Mr. Rajneesh Shelly, Advocate  
for the respondents

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner is aggrieved because his application for re-issuance of passport has been closed on account of pendency of a criminal trial. Section 6(2)(f) of the Passports Act, 1967 clearly states that if proceedings in respect of an offence alleged to have been committed are pending before a criminal Court in India, the passport authorities would be justified in refusing to proceed with an application for re-issuance of a passport.

However, vide an order passed in the year 1993 an exception has been carved out and applicants have been permitted to apply to the concerned trial Court for issuance/re-issuance of a passport for a fixed period of time.

Thus, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to approach the concerned trial Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty.

26.04.2022

reena

**( SUDHIR MITTAL )  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No  
Yes/No