

COCp-774-2022

Date of Decision : 10.11.2022

Manmohan Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

Raj Kamal Chaudhry and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : None for the petitioners.
Mr. Ajit Singh Natt, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 01.02.2022 in CWP-813-2022.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 01.02.2022, reveals that CWP-813-2022, was disposed of by directing the respondent-authorities to consider legal notice dated 17.10.2016 and representation dated 11.02.2021 filed by the petitioners and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case the petitioners were held entitled to the relief claimed and there was no impediment for granting the same, the same be released to them without any delay.

3. Learned AAG, Punjab, states that reply dated 02.07.2022 was filed and copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners on 26.09.2022. Learned State counsel refers to speaking order Annexure R-1/T dated 30.06.2022 rejecting the claim of the petitioners as contained

contends that in the circumstances, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against the respondents in view of compliance with order Annexure P-1 dated 01.02.2022.

4. Despite intimation in the cause list that the case would be taken up for hearing at 12 Noon, none is present on behalf of the petitioners.

5. I have considered the submissions of learned State counsel.

6. Admittedly, order Annexure P-1 dated 01.02.2022, in CWP-813-2022, has been complied with and speaking order Annexure R-1 dated 30.06.2022 passed by the Director, Health and Family Welfare, Punjab, Chandigarh, rejecting the claim of the petitioner as contained in legal notice dated 17.10.2016 and representation dated 11.02.2021. In the circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by adjourning the matter.

7. Accordingly, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order Annexure R-1 dated 30.06.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

8. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

10.11.2022

ps

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No