

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

253

CWP-9044-2022

Date of decision: 23.08.2022

SAROJ SARWAN

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, GURUGRAM AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Piyush Bansal, Advocate
for the respondents No.1 to 3.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition had been filed under Article 226/227 seeking issuance of directions to the respondents No.2 and 3 to take appropriate action on the representation dated 16.12.2021 (Annexure P-5) filed against respondents No. 4 to 8.

Short reply by way of affidavit of Karamveer Singh, Establishment Officer-cum-Accounts Officer, Municipal Corporation, Gurugram has been filed today in Court on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and the same is taken on record. A copy thereof has been furnished to the counsel for the petitioner. The relevant extract of the aforesaid affidavit is reproduced herein after below:

“That the answering respondent had took necessary action on finding that the Private respondent 4, 6, 7 & 8 were involved in a criminal case and FIR bearing No. 150 dated 09.04.2018 of in this regard has been registered

*against them. The said employees i.e. 4, 6, 7 & 8 were suspended vide order dated 16.06.2022. The services of the said respondent No.5 were also dispensed by forming to the outsourcing agency on dated 16.06.2022 as she was an outsourced employee (**Annexure R-1/1**).*

*It is further informed that respondent No.4, 6, 7 & 8 are charge sheeted under Rule 7 of Haryana Civil Services (Punishment & Appeal) Rules, 2016. The correct Translated copies of charge sheets dated 06.07.2022 against Satish Respondent No.4, Manish Respondent No.6, Deepanshu Respondent No.7 and Vishal Respondent No.8 are annexed herewith as **Annexure R-1/2, R-1/3, R-1/4 and R-1/5** respectively.”*

In view of the above, action having been taken against the private respondents, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not press the instant petition.

Dismissed as not pressed.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

AUGUST 23, 2022

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No