

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(123)

**CWP No. 8539 of 2022**

**Date of Decision : 26.04.2022**

**Gindori Devi**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and others**

**...Respondents**

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI***

Present: Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present case, the claim of the petitioner has been declined by the respondents by the impugned order dated 25.05.2021 (Annexure P-9) only on the ground that no one junior to the petitioner in District Rewari has been granted the benefit as being claimed by the petitioner whereas, the petitioner has come to know that there are certain employees, who are juniors to the petitioner and who have been granted the said benefit, therefore, petitioner be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to approach the respondents with the name of those persons so as to re-consider the claim of the petitioner.

Ordered accordingly.

**April 26, 2022**  
*kanchan*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

✓  
*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No*

✓  
*Whether reportable : Yes/No*