

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

261

CRM-M-27585-2016

Date of Decision: 25.04.2022

Sukhmail Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Gaurav Vir Singh Behl, Legal Aid Counsel
for the petitioners.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, Asst. AG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned legal aid counsel submits that he had tried to contact the petitioners but failed to do so. However he contacted the learned counsel who was initially representing the petitioners by making phone call in Canada. The previous counsel informed the legal aid counsel that as per his instructions, the compromise was never acted upon because of the financial dispute and as such, the present petition did not pursue further.

Learned counsel for the State has also similar instructions.

I have heard learned legal aid counsel on merits.

Consequently the present petition is disposed of. It is clarified that however in case the parties have entered into compromise, disposal of the present petition shall not come in the way.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

25.04.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No