

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(115)

**CWP No.9369 of 2022**

**Date of Decision : 05.05.2022**

**Jaspreet Singh Joneja**

**....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana & ors.**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present:- Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate,  
for the respondent-HSVP Haryana.

**\*\*\***

**AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)**

By this petition, filed under the provisions of Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to recalculate the entire accounts and to issue a revised/clear offer of possession to him after completion of development works regarding residential plot No.21, Sector 78, Faridabad. He also seeks a direction to the respondents not to charge the “possession interest and extension fee” in view of clause-7 of the allotment letter. He also further prays for issuance of a direction to the respondents to consider the detailed representation dated 25.03.2021 (Annexure P-5) and decide it in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage the

petitioner is only pressing the prayer for the respondents to consider his detailed representation dated 25.03.2021 (Annexure P-5) and to dispose of it by passing a speaking order within a specified time frame.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of that representation, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to hear the petitioner and to pass a speaking order on the aforesaid representation within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)  
JUDGE

05.05.2022  
monika

(LALIT BATRA)  
JUDGE

*Whether reasoned/speaking?*    *Yes*  
*Whether reportable?*            *No*