

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.242

CRWP-3581-2022(O&M)
Date of decision: 31.05.2022

RASPREET KAUR AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: None for the petitioners.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.2 and 3 not to harass the petitioners illegally at the behest of respondent No.4 to 6 and also directing respondents No.2 and 3 to restrain respondent No.4 and 6 from interfering in the married life of the petitioners.

Reply on behalf of the respondent-State has already been filed.

The relevant extract of the same reads as under:-

That, it is humbly submitted that the SHO Police Station Sadar Batala had further entrusted the same to ASI Sukhjinder Singh Investigating Officer, Police Station Sadar Batala and he had got recorded the statement of Raspreet Kaur wife of Manjinder Singh (Petitioner No.1) and Manjinder Singh son of Gurmit Singh (Petitioner No.2.). From the perusal of the statements got recorded it has been revealed that the

petitioners have solemnized their marriage in Gurdawara Dashmesh Pitah Patshahi Dasvi Village Singha Devi Tehsil Kharrar District Mohali and then had filed the writ petition in the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court at Chandigarh for their life and liberty having threat perception from Amarjit Kaur W/o Nirmal Singh and Labh Singh S/o Nirmal Singh and Nirmal Singh S/o Hansa Singh residents of Samrai (Private respondents). Presently they have no any threat perception from private respondents and are residing at Village Nathwal happily. At present there is no threat perception to the petitioner No.1 and 2 from anyone. They are living their life happily. The statement of petitioners No.1 and 2 are annexed herewith as Annexure R-1/T and R-2/T respectively.

It is evident from the perusal of the same that the petitioners have stated that they do not apprehend any threat perception from the private respondents and are happily residing in Village Nathwal.

In view of the above, no further directions are required to be called for.

The present petition is disposed of accordingly.

31.05.2022
Amandeep

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No