

**224 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3602-2022

Date of Decision: 27th September, 2022

Sukhjinder Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Ms. Simrat, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Arun Luthra, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition was filed seeking directions to the official respondents not to harass or interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioner and not to curtail the liberty of the petitioner. Further prayer was made to provide adequate protection of life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondents no. 4 and 5.

2. Learned State counsel has filed reply dated 8th August, 2022, statement of the petitioner is annexed, same is taken on record. Copy supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. From the pleadings it is forthcoming that there was a matrimonial dispute between the son and daughter-in-law of the petitioner who are living in United State of America. A complaint was filed by relative of her daughter-in-law alleging demand of dowry and beatings given to daughter-in-law on her visiting in India. The petitioner was being called to the police station with regard to the said complaint.

4. In the statement annexed, the petitioner has stated that she is satisfied with the action taken by the Patiala Police. Further that application filed by her against Swaran Singh be consigned to record room.

But Swaran Singh had filed an application against petitioner before SSP, Ropar who called her with regard to that application.

5. In view of the statement of the petitioner, no further directions are called for, the petition is disposed of.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

27th September, 2022
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No