

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

273

CRM-M-13858-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.03.2022

ANGREJ SINGH AND ANR

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for the applicant/proposed respondent No.3.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through this petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.146 dated 27.03.2017, registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 471, 120-B, at Police Station Civil Lines, District Amritsar (Annexure P-1), along with all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 22.01.2019 (Annexure P-2).

Vide orders dated 28.03.2019 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioners were directed to appear before the trial Court to record the statements with regard to the compromise, subject to them depositing Rs.5,000/- with Prabh Aasra and Rs.10,000/- with the Poor Patients' Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh.

However, the parties did not appear before the Court below

to record their statements, in compliance of the order dated 28.03.2019.

On 24.05.2019, a Coordinate Bench of this Court granted last opportunity to the petitioners to appear before the learned trial Court to record their statements, subject to them depositing further cost of Rs.5,000/-, in addition to earlier cost of Rs.15,000/-, with Welfare Centre for Persons with Speech and Hearing Impairment, Chandan Nagar, Sector-15, Phase-II, Behind ITI Gurugram.

However, again, as would decipher from the reports dated 20.05.2019 and 26.08.2019 of the learned trial Court, the parties did not appear before it to record their statements.

Even today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners today.

Despite availing two opportunities, including the last opportunity, the parties did not bother to appear before the learned trial Court, to record their statements. It, thus, appears that the parties are no longer interested in pursuing the present petition.

In view of the above, no further orders are called for.

Dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, also stands dismissed as having become infructuous.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

02.03.2022

Aman Jain

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No