

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(134)

CWP No. 7972 of 2022

Date of Decision : 10.05.2022

Surinder Kumar Sondhi

....Petitioner

Versus

The New India Assurance Company Limited and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Umesh Narang, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, though the subject of the letter dated 01.04.2013 (Annexure P-2) sent by the petitioner was referred as resignation but the same meant 'Voluntary Retirement' as the petitioner had fulfilled all the eligibility for the voluntary retirement and the petitioner's resignation was accepted rather than extended the benefit of voluntary retirement. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has already filed a representation dated 27.02.2020 (Annexure P-8) with the prayer that resignation of the petitioner be treated as voluntary retirement in the facts and circumstances of the present case, which representation is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the representation dated 27.02.2020 (Annexure P-8), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

May 10, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	✓ Yes/No
Whether reportable?	✓ Yes/No