

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

122

COCp-728-2022

Date of Decision :12.5.2022

Rohit Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Anirudh Tiwari and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. Saguna Arora, Advocate, for the petitioners

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1, dated 10.10.2018, in CWP-19236-2013 as well as decision dated 21.3.2002 in CWP-4382-2002.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1, dated 10.10.2018, reveals that CWP-19236-2013 was allowed, notification dated 23.12.2011 (Annexure P-4) was set aside and the respondents were directed to release the same pay scale to the petitioner as allowed to the other Vocational Masters with qualification of Bachelor Degree in Engineering as per notification dated 23.12.2011 (Annexure P-4) with all consequential benefits, within two months from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further states that the matter in issue is covered by the decision of this Court dated 23.3.2022 in

Tewari and others’.

4. Issue notice to the respondents to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against them.

5. Learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and concedes that the matter is covered as per decision dated 23.3.2022 in COCP-4046-2019, in case titled as Tejwinder Singh and others v. Anirudh Tewari and others’.

6. Although the petitioners were not party to decision dated 10.10.2018 in CWP-1923-2013, compliance of which is being sought in view of the decision by the Government to grant benefits to employees similarly situated, within six weeks, learned state counsel states that the petitioners would also be granted the necessary benefits as per their entitlement within six weeks.

7. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioners.

8. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while directing the needful to be done in terms of the assurance held out within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

9. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

12.5.2022
Ashwani

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No