

CWP-8978-2022

Date of decision-29.04.2022

Krishan Lal

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Amrik Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges that he has purchased the land in dispute from the previous owners who were in a protracted litigation with respondent No.3-Panchayat Samiti. Respondent No.3 had claimed title in respect of the land in dispute but, they failed upto the Supreme Court of India. Thus, the action of entering Nagar Council as the owner of the land in dispute in the assessment register is illegal. On account of the said entry, the petitioner is not able being to get building plan sanctioned. Legal notice dated 27.09.2021 (Annexure P-8) has been submitted but no order has been passed thereupon.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Arun K. Kaundal, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and waives service

At the asking of the Court, he also accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 and waives service.

Considering the nature of order being passed, no reply is

necessary.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to the Executive Officer of respondent No.2 to decide the pending legal notice dated 27.09.2021 (Annexure P-8) by passing a speaking order within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petitioner will be at liberty to file any supplementary representations.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

29.04.2022
geeta

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No