

**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

TA-381-2022

Date of decision: 21.04.2022

Harjeet Kaur

.....Petitioner

versus

Kulvir Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Nikhil Batta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The petitioner/wife-Harjeet Kaur through this invocation under Section 24 of CPC has sought transfer of matrimonial petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act titled as 'Harjeet Kaur versus Kulvir Singh' from the Court of the Principal Judge, Family Court, Nawanshahr (SBS Nagar) to the competent Court at Patiala, where she claims to be residing on the grounds of mere distance between the two places.

Upon hearing counsel for the petitioner and perusal of the records, no doubt the distance between SBS Nagar, Nawanshahr and Patiala is approximately 100 KMs but is not much of a distance in this fast moving world with availability of all types of conveyance. The wife, as per her own stand, had initiated

the petition for divorce at SBS Nagar, Nawanshahr, showing her to be the resident of Village and Post Office Palli Uchi, District Nawanshahr, Punjab and in proof of which, had placed on record her Aadhar Card, which depicts her address to be so. Even otherwise, the wife admittedly, at the time of marriage, was resident of Kapurthala District and both the parties are, as per this petition, residing in District Nawanshahr. What one can foresee that there is a deceptive effort by the wife to harass and humiliate her husband and even her application addressed to the Senior Superintendent of Police (SSP), Nawanshahr (Annexure P-1) is reflective that she is residing at Village and Post Office Palli Uchi, District Nawanshahr and at which place, she has filed CRWP No.11238 of 2021 titled as 'Harjeet Kaur versus State of Punjab and others' which is placed on the record.

From this all, it is reflective that the wife has nothing to do with Patiala where she seeks to get the matter transferred and is nothing short of misuse of the process of Court, though, Section 24 of CPC has vide amplitude to meet the ends of justice, however, there is no overwhelming fact pleaded by the wife necessitating this relief.

This Court, in exercise of its powers under Section 24

of CPC, does not feel inclined to show any indulgence and, thus, the present petition being hopelessly without merits, stands dismissed.

21.04.2022*Neha***(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No