

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

211

CRM-M-15988-2022 (O & M)

Date of Decision: 20.12.2022

RAVI

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Dahiya, Advocate for the complainant.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

CRM-38298-2022

For the reasons given in the application, it is allowed.

Offences under Sections 384 and 201 of IPC, 1860, are permitted to be added in the main petition.

Amended petition be filed within a period of 04 weeks from today.

Main Case

Vide the instant petition filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.150 dated 28.02.2022, Annexure P-1, registered under Sections 376 (2) (n) and 506 of IPC, 1860, at Police Station Kundli, District Sonapat.

Upon instructions from SI Shamsher, State counsel submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation, co-operated with the Investigating Agency and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation as *challan* has been prepared. She further submits that offence under Section 201 of IPC has been added due to non-recovery.

Counsel for the complainant on the other hand submits that the recovery of the mobile phone has not been effected from the petitioner.

In view of the above, without examining the merits of the case, present petition is allowed and order dated 10.05.2022 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

20.12.2022
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No