

VIJINDER MEHRA

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sammi, Advocate for
Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

*(The case has been taken up through video conferencing on
account of Covid-19 Pandemic).*

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No. 331 dated 08.06.2018, under Sections 363/366/506 IPC, registered at Police Station Bhiwani City, District Bhiwani.

Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.3 contend that the main case has been finally disposed of and the petitioner has been acquitted by the trial Court in terms of judgment dated 03.02.2022 and the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that he has no instructions in this regard.

In view of the categorical assertion of the learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.3, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an application for revival of the petition within a period of two months, in the event, the aforesaid assertion is found to be factually incorrect.

23.03.2022

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No