

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3553-2022

Date of Decision: 20.04.2022

Dimple Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue a writ in nature of mandamus for directing respondent Nos.2 & 3 to protect the life, liberty and property of the petitioner, which is endangered at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that on 26.02.2022 when he was weighing the special material of the FCI and had already weighed about 15-20 trucks and one of those trucks, met with an accident about 5-7 kilometers ahead of his dharamkanda in which a person had died and the present petitioner has nothing to do with the aforesaid accident which had taken place much away from dharamkanda. However, after some time, some persons entered into his shop forcefully and started touching his documents, registers, receipts, lying in his shop without his consent and also dragged the petitioner outside and pushed him and threw him on a cot and they all threatened to kill him. He further submitted that thereafter a video was also made which was put on social media and in this way the petitioner had not only suffered injuries but also had suffered loss of reputation and thereafter he had made a number of

request to the Police to take action but no action was taken by the Police. He also submitted that a representation was given to the S.S.P., Bathinda vide Annexure P-1 on 15.03.2022 in which even a Diary number had also been mentioned on the representation itself but no action had been taken so far.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and has stated that he has no objection in case the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-1) is considered by the S.S.P. Bathinda in accordance with law.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

It is a case where the allegations were stated in the representation (Annexure P-1) which was given to the S.S.P. Bathinda about more than one month ago but as per the learned counsel for the petitioner, no action has been taken in this regard. Therefore, at this stage even without calling reply from the respondents and without expressing anything on merits, this Court is of the opinion that it will be in the interest of justice to direct the S.S.P., Bathinda to consider the representation in accordance with law.

Therefore, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the S.S.P., Bathinda to consider the representation (Annexure P-1) filed by the petitioner in accordance with law within reasonable time and in case it is so required then to take further action in accordance with law.

20.04.2022

Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes |
| 2. Whether reportable: | Yes/No |