

209-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16172-2022

Date of decision : 31.10.2022

Ankur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. K.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate and
Mr. K.P.S. Virk, Advocate and
Mr. J.S. Kang, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Jangra, Advocate for
Mr. Partap Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.67 dated 09.03.2022 registered under Sections 406, 420, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Civil Lines Jind, District Jind.

On 30.05.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has paid an amount of Rs.6 lakhs to the complainant in addition to Rs.2 lakhs paid by way of the original demand draft, which has been given by the father of the petitioner to the complainant. It is further submitted that all efforts are being made for finally resolving the matter.

Adjourned to 30.08.2022.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing

personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the abovesaid order dated 30.05.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Deepak, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further custodial interrogation. It is also submitted that challan, in the present case, has already been presented against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the complainant has pointed out that out of the entire amount of Rs.65,50,000/-, only an amount of Rs.15,22,000/- has been paid and the entire amount has not been paid.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 30.05.2022 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation and the fact that the present dispute has civil trappings and is based on documentary evidence, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 30.05.2022 is ordered to be made absolute.

However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

31.10.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No