

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-15952-2022 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 20.05.2022**

Ankush

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present:- Mr. Sushil Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)**

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 682 dated 15.12.2021 registered under Sections 323, 506 IPC read with Section 34 IPC and Sections 325, 307 IPC added later on, at Police Station Kaithal City, District Kaithal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case; that as alleged, the complainant has given his house on rent to Urmila-mother of the petitioner and they have been residing there for the last 10 years; that when the complainant asked them to vacate the house, a quarrel started between them; that the injury attributed to the petitioner is on the head of Sanjeev-son of the complainant, which was initially declared dangerous to life, but later on the same was declared grievous by the Board of Doctors and that the petitioner has been in custody since 29.12.2021.

Learned State counsel, while vehemently opposing the prayer for bail submits that the petitioner along with other co-accused has inflicted injuries upon Sanjeev and the complainant. However, he does not dispute the custody period of the petitioner and the fact that later on the injury attributed to the petitioner was declared grievous in nature by a Medical Board. He submits that the prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 29.12.2021. The injury attributed to the petitioner was grievous in nature. The prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined. Therefore, the conclusion of the trial would take time. Hence, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)**  
**JUDGE**

**20.05.2022**  
*Mangal Singh*

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether reasoned/speaking? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |