

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

295

CRM-M-16161-2022

Date of decision: 20.07.2022

KARWINDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present : Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S.Nagra, AAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., wherein, the petitioner seeks the indulgence of this court for grant of anticipatory bail.

2. FIR No. 293 dated 23.11.2020 is registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran, therein, offences constituted under Sections 409, 419, 467, 468, 471, 473, 120-B of the IPC, are embodied.

3. Learned State Counsel, on instructions given to him by ASI Tarsem Singh, has submitted that 20 fake arms licences have been recovered by the investigating officer concerned, from the photostat booth of Manjinder Singh, who is the real nephew of the present bail petitioner. He also submits that the above photostat booth, is in, the closest proximity to the seat occupied, by the present bail petitioner, as a Licensing Clerk, in the licensing branch of the Collectorate concerned.

4. Therefore, in the wake of the above, it appears that the present bail petitioner was at a larger scale, indulging in preparation of fake arms licences, and, thereafter his purveying them to the concerned, and, moreover, prima facie on his receiving monetary considerations, his thereafter facilitating the purchases of arms, hence by the concerned.

5. Consequently, for un-covering the scale, and, ramification(s) of preparation(s) of fake arms licences hence by all the concerned, and, also for ensuring the emergences, of all the arms' purchased, by the concerned, rather with prima facie aidings of the present bail petitioner, this court deems it fit, to order for the custodial interrogation of the present bail petitioner.

6. Also, the above made conclusion for dismissing the application for pre-arrest bail of the present bail petitioner, becomes fortified from the reasons, as recorded by this Court, in connected cases CRM-M-15562-2022, and, CRM-M-16167-2022.

7. In view of the above, this Court does not find any merit in the petition, rather, becomes constrained to dismiss it.

8. Dismissed accordingly.

9. The afore observations are meant only for the disposal of the present petition, and, shall not affect the merits of the trial arising from the FIR (supra).

20.07.2022
kavneet singh

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*