

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CRM-M-16056-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.04.2022

KIRSHANA SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Azad Khan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for seeking directions to the official respondents to take legal action against the private respondents.

Learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner was given injuries by the respondents and that the representation in this regard has already been submitted to the Police authorities, however, no action is being taken thereupon.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner. It is not controverted by the petitioner that the injury in question is a simple injury and as such, it will not attract commission of a cognizable offence. There is no occasion for issuance of a direction for registration of a FIR when a cognizable offence is not made out. Besides, the petitioner can always take recourse to the alternate, efficacious and effective remedies available to him as per law. Approaching High Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is not a panacea for all ills and cannot be deployed as a substitute to the alternate remedies for misconceived

notions of expeditious adjudication of dispute or a speedier and shorter remedy. Such a power being a residuary & inherent power needs to be exercised in exceptional circumstances only to secure ends of justice.

The petition is dismissed.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

APRIL 20, 2022
Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No