

**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26768-2021

Date of Decision: 28th September, 2022

Virender Verma @ Virender Sangwan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. P.S. Hundal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This second petition is filed seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 324, dated 25th October, 1995, under Section 302 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Chandni Bagh, Panipat (Haryana).

Learned State counsel at the outset submits that prosecution evidence is complete, trial is fixed for defence evidence.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not pressing the petition and prayer is made to conclude the trial in the time bound manner.

The petition is disposed of at this stage.

There is no doubt that in case a request is made before the court concerned for accommodating the date for expeditious conclusion of the trial, the same shall be considered in accordance with law.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

28th September, 2022

Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No