

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.116

CRM-M-16569 of 2022

Date of Decision: 25.04.2022

Ritesh Chopra

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to take appropriate action against respondents No.4 to 6 and decide the representation (Annexure P-6) moved by the petitioner.

It is submitted that respondent No.4 and 6 committed fraud with the petitioner on the pretext of selling a plot measuring 300 sq. yards situated in Village Deelwal, Tehsil and District Patiala by showing the said plot in the name of respondent No.4 (nephew of respondent No.6) and took an amount of Rs.8 Lakh as 80% of the deal amount on 07.07.2014, when the agreement to sell was prepared, wherein it was decided that the sale deed of the said plot will be executed on 16.09.2021 and the petitioner shall pay the balance amount of Rs.2 Lakh to respondent No.4. A few days before the date of registry of the said plot, the petitioner gave a reminder to respondent No.4, to which the latter paid no heed and started lingering on the matter on one pretext or the other. As a result thereof, the petitioner got suspicious and tried to know the status of the plot by going to the patwari and he came to know that respondent No.4 in connivance with respondent No.6 has

fraudulently transferred the plot in question, in the name of respondent No.5, who is none other than the son of respondent No.4. Aggrieved with the said fraud, the petitioner moved an application/representation (Annexure P-6) to Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala on 13.09.2021, but till date, no action has been taken on the same.

Now, the prayer on behalf of the petitioner is that a direction be issued to the official respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner in an expeditious manner.

Notice of motion restricted to official respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and has no objection if an appropriate direction be issued for deciding the representation of the petitioner.

In view of the above, the instant criminal writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to decide the representation (Annexure P-6) within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above said representation to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala for requisite compliance within the time period granted by this Court.

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

25.04.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No