

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRA-S-617-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.07.2022

ROHIT KUMAR

... APPELLANT

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Ms. Nisha Rana, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

The appellant has assailed the order dated 23.03.2022 passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panchkula vide which the application for anticipatory bail has been dismissed.

On 22.04.2022, the following order was passed:-

“The petitioner has assailed the order dated 23.03.2022 passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panchkula vide which the application for anticipatory bail has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with the complainant on 10.12.2021. The petitioner has instituted a petition under Section 12 of the Hindu Marriage Act on the score that the complainant was having subsisting marriage and a child from the earlier wedlock. The allegations with regard to derogatory utterances pertaining to the caste of the complainant have not been attributed to the petitioner. Rather the same have been attributed to the brother-in-law and sister-in-law of the complainant. Arshdeep @ Lucky is the brother-in-law of the complainant, who has been granted anticipatory bail in terms of order dated 05.04.2022 passed in CRM-M-14304-2022.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 14.07.2022.

To be heard along with CRM-M-14304-2022.

In the meanwhile, the appellant is directed to join

investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.”

Learned counsel for the appellant contends that in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court, the appellant has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from PSI Vikas, submits that the appellant has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

Consequently, order dated 22.04.2022, vide which the appellant has been granted interim bail, is made absolute and the appeal stands disposed of.

14.07.2022
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No