

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.16022 of 2019
Date of Decision: 04.03.2022**

Rishi Dutt and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

(Heard through video-conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Anuj Balian, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. D.K. Tuteja, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.PC for quashing of FIR No.002 dated 03.01.2019, under Sections 323, 406, 498-A of IPC, registered at Police Station Women Police Station, Panchkula and all the subsequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise arrived at, between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the presented FIR is an outcome of marital discord between the parties. Subsequent to the registration of FIR, a compromise was arrived at between the parties and as a result of which, the petitioners moved this Court *qua* quashing of FIR in question.

Vide order dated 03.02.2020 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court, Panchkula

on 27.03.2020 to get their respective statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report dated 01.09.2020 has since been received from the Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Panchkula in pursuance to the direction of this Court. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is genuine, voluntary, out of their own free will and without any pressure. The trial Court has annexed the statement of the parties alongwith its report.

Learned State counsel also submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Panchkula and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others*, (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another*, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR along with all subsequent proceedings arising out of them, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

04.03.2022

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No