

[123] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-724-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 05.09.2022

H.C. Arora, Advocate

...Petitioner

versus

Manpritam Singh and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Petitioner-in-person.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Himanshu Malik, Central Govt.
Counsel for respondent No.2/UOI.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

CM-10806-CII-2022

Application has been moved for withdrawal of the instant petition with liberty to challenge speaking order (Annexure R-2) dated 18.04.2020 passed by respondent No.1.

Notice of the application to the learned counsel for the non-applicants / respondents.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 / UOI states that he has no objection to the prayer made in the application.

Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the application is allowed.

COCp-724-2022

[1] Instant petition is dismissed as not calling for any action against the respondents, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order (Annexure R-3) dated 18.04.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[2] At this stage, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2/UOI states that although he had appeared in the matter on the previous date i.e. 23.08.2022, yet inadvertently his presence has not been marked in the order and prays for his presence to be marked in order dated 23.08.2022.

[3] Request of learned counsel for respondent No.2 / UOI is accepted.

[4] Registry is directed to mark presence of Shri Himanshu Malik, learned counsel for respondent No.2 in order dated 23.08.2022.

[5] This order be deemed to be read as part of order dated 23.08.2022 as well.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

05.09.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No