

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(203)

CRM-M-15740-2022

Date of Decision : April 25, 2022

Sandeep Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Balbir Kumar Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the present petition has been filed for the grant of regular bail but as only 5 witnesses remain to be examined, he will be satisfied if the trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

On the instructions from ASI Rachpal Singh, Moga, learned counsel for the respondents submits that there are total 13 witnesses to be examined, out of which 5 witnesses have been examined so far and 8

are yet to be examined but submits that State has no objection in case any direction is issued to the trial Court to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible.

Keeping in view the above, without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, as the petitioner is behind the bars for the last approximately one year, let the trial be concluded as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four months from the next date of hearing or from the receipt of copy of this order which ever is later.

The present petition is disposed of in above terms.

April 25, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No