

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CRWP-3896-2022

Date of Decision:11.07.2022

Charanjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Navinder Jit Singh Dandiwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, D.A.G., Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ , J.(Oral)

The present criminal writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 and 3 not to harass the petitioner by calling her and her family members at the Police Station and asked them to remain sit at the police station for the whole day and also to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members at the hands of the private respondents.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner points out that there is a civil dispute between the petitioner as well as the private respondents and the same revolves in relation to possession of a double storey house consisting of three bed rooms, drawing, dining, lobby kitchen and bathroom etc. He contends that the private respondents scaled the wall of the house of the petitioner and forcibly entered into the same in order to

dispossess the petitioner from the house in question. He further contends that the incident in question was duly captured in the CCTV and the photographs have also been appended.

Even though learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the police officials have been calling the petitioner and her husband at the Police Station and asked them to remain sit for the entire day, however, there is no such allegation levelled against any police official in person.

Further, it has been pointed out by the learned State Counsel that owing to the aforesaid incident, a calendra under Section 107/151 Cr. P.C. was prepared by the police and filed before the Sub Divisional Magistrate vide rapat No.60 dated 12.05.2022. She further contends that the petitioner had not been called otherwise by the police officials at all.

Taking into consideration the averments contained in the present petition, there seems to be a misplaced apprehension against the police officials and the same is not supported by any material particulars so as to issue such directions. So far as any act on the part of the private respondents is concerned, there is no basis for the Court to assume that the concerned persons could not take action in accordance with law.

The present petition being devoid of any merit is accordingly dismissed.

July 11, 2022
seema

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No