

119

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-7819-2022

Date of Decision: 19.04.2022

Madan Lal Singla

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Y.P. Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, prayer of the petitioner is for quashing of the impugned order dated 08.11.2021 (Annexure P-3), passed by respondent No.3, vide which prayer of the petitioner for grant of one notional increment upon completing one full year of service, w.e.f. 01.01.2004 to 31.12.2004, has wrongly been rejected by respondent No.3 by rendering incorrect interpretation of Rule 4.9-A of the Punjab Civil Services Rules Vol-1 Part-1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the interpretation to Rule 4.9-A of the Punjab Civil Services Rules Vol-1 Part-1 has already been rendered by this Court while passing judgment in **CWP-32598-2019**, titled as “*Gurdev Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another*”, decided on 16.03.2022, and the claim of the petitioner, as raised in the present petition, is squarely covered by the aforesaid judgment of this Court, therefore, this petition may also be disposed of in the same terms and

conditions as of the judgment passed in **Gurdev Singh's case** (supra).

Notice of motion.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab, keeping in view the advance service of the copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the State and very fairly submits that keeping in view the judgment passed by this Court in **Gurdev Singh's case** (supra), claim of the present petitioner is covered by the aforesaid decision.

Keeping in view the facts that the claim of the petitioner, as raised in this petition, is covered by the decision of this Court passed in **Gurdev Singh's case** (supra) and no differentiating factor has been brought to the notice of this Court, the present petition is disposed of in the same terms and conditions as of the judgment passed in **Gurdev Singh's case** (supra).

19.04.2022

Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No