

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**RFA-330-2021(O&M)
Date of decision : 19.04.2022**

State of Haryana and anotherAppellants
VERSUS

Sudesh Kumar and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Advocate General, Haryana with
Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana,
Mr. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana, and,
Mr. Harsh Vardhan, AAG, Haryana,
Ms. Nikita Goel, Advocate.

Mr. Jaivir Yadav, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Nitish Sharma, Advocate,

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate with
Mr. Saurabh Garg, Advocate
Mr. Ram Darshan Yadav, Advocate
Mr. Surjit Singh Chaudhary, Advocate
Mr. B. K. Bagri, Advocate
Mr. Sahil Gupta, Advocate
Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate
Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Advocate
Mr. Gulshan Nandwani, Advocate
Mr. Satish Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. P. R. Yadav, Advocate
Mr. A. K. Yadav, Advocate
Mr. S. S. Khurana, Advocate,
for the land owners.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

CM No.1015-CI of 2021

This application has been filed under Section 5 of the
Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 600 days in filing the appeal.

In view of the averments made in the application, delay of 600
days in filing the appeal is condoned.

Application stands disposed of.

RFA-330-2021(O&M)

For orders see order of even date passed in “**RFA-309-2021**
titled as State of Haryana and Anr vs. Rajbir and Anr.”

19.04.2022
anil

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned :</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>