

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

219/3

**CRM-M-16261-2022
Decided on : 30.08.2022**

Sonu

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate,
for the complainant.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is the 1st petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0279 dated 18.06.2020 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 302, 506 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station City, Mahendergarh, District Mahendergarh, Haryana.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant have pointed out in the present case that even the material witnesses, namely, Bijender, Sube Singh, Hoshiyar and Krishan have

not been examined so far.

In view of the above-stated position, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh petition for regular bail before the trial Court after the said witnesses are examined.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

In case, the regular bail application is filed before the trial Court, the trial Court shall independently consider the same on its merits, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

30.08.2022
geeta

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No