

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3410-2022

Date of decision : 18.04.2022

Meva Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Criminal Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of an appropriate writ/order/direction to the respondents in the nature of habeas corpus thereby directing the respondents to produce detenue as stated in the head note of the petition before the Court.

On 12.04.2022, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“In the present petition, the grievance raised by the petitioner is: that the detenue namely, Robin, who is the grandson of the petitioner, is being kept in illegal custody by the respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the other brother of the said detenue, namely Kunal, is required by the police in FIR No.57 of 2022, registered at the same Police Station, as mentioned in the present petition, and in order to secure his presence, the detenue, namely Robin, has been kept in illegal custody by respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in case, any Warrant Officer is appointed by this Court, a visit of the said Warrant Officer to the Police Station concerned can prove the aforementioned factum.

In order to find out the truth of the allegations being alleged by the petitioner, Registry is directed to appoint a Warrant Officer so as to visit the Police Station, Sadar Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar, and find out whether the alleged detenue, Robin, i.e. grand-son of the petitioner Meva Devi, is being illegally detained by the police and to submit his report to this Court.

It is made clear that the expenses to be incurred by the Warrant Officer will be borne by the petitioner.

Let the report of the Warrant Officer be placed before this Court by the next date of hearing i.e. 18.04.2022.

12.04.2022

Sd/- (Harsimran Singh Sethi)

Judge”

In pursuance of the said order, report has been received from the Warrant Officer and as per the said report, the detenue has been released.

In view of the said facts, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the present Criminal Writ Petition may kindly be disposed of in view of the report submitted by the Warrant Officer and liberty may also be granted to the petitioner to seek alternative remedy in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to seek alternative remedy in accordance with law.

18.04.2022

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No