

124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-3397-2022

Date of Decision: 12.04.2022

Kiran and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction from this Court to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life & liberty from respondents No. 4 and 5, i.e. parents/relatives of petitioner No.1, who are objecting to the marriage solemnized by the petitioners.

In the present petition, it has been stated on behalf of the petitioners that it is most likely that the private respondents might cause physical harm to the petitioners as they have solemnized the marriage against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5. Both the petitioners are major in age and have solemnized marriage according to Hindu Rites on 24.02.2022 and they want to live their independent life without any interference from the parents/relatives of the petitioner No.1, i.e. respondents No.4 and 5. Representation dated 12.04.2022 (Annexure P-5) has been made by the petitioners to respondent No.2 and 3, i.e. the Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra and the Station House Officer, Police Station-Shahabad, District Kurukshetra, seeking protection to their life and

liberty.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. R.K.S. Brar, Additional AG, Haryana, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Without making any observation on the merits of the case, especially in respect of the validity of marriage performed by the petitioners, a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to take appropriate decision on the representation, which the petitioners alleged to have made on 12.04.2022 (Annexure P-5), expressing apprehension about danger to their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5 within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. In case any merit is found in the representation, the appropriate action will be taken by respondent No.2 to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as an approval of this Court about the marriage of the petitioners and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

The present petition stands disposed of.

Let a copy of this order be supplied to learned State counsel today itself.

12.04.2022
Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2. Whether reportable: Yes/No