

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

119

CRWP-3363-2022

Date of Decision :12.04.2022

Rimple Rani and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Amandeep S. Gill, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are seeking a direction from this Court to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life & liberty from respondents Nos.4 to 6 i.e. parents/relatives of the petitioner No.1, who are objecting to the marriage solemnized by the petitioners. Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that it is most likely that the private respondents might cause physical harm to the petitioners as they have solemnized the marriage against the wishes of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further argues that both the petitioners are major by age and have solemnized marriage according to the Sikh Rites on 08.04.2022 and they want to live their independent life without any interference from the parents/relatives of petitioner No.1 i.e. respondent Nos.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn attention of this Court to the representation dated 10.04.2022 (Annexure P-4) made by the petitioners to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar Rural seeking protection to their life and liberty.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Amandeep S. Gill, Senior DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of State of Punjab-respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Without making any observation on the merits of the case, especially in respect of the validity of marriage performed by the petitioners, a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to take appropriate decision on the representation, which the petitioners alleged to have made on 10.04.2022 (Annexure P-4), expressing apprehension about danger to their life and liberty at the hands of respondents Nos.4 to 6 within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. In case any merit is found in the representation, the appropriate action will be taken by respondent No.2 to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as an approval of this Court about the marriage of the petitioners and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

The petition stands disposed of.

April, 12, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No