

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-15526-2022

Date of Decision : April 21, 2022

Harjinder Singh @ Bau

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Nipun Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sarabjit Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.128 dated 8.5.2021 under Sections 323, 324, 326, 341 IPC, registered at Police Station Beas, District Amritsar.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR was registered on the statement of one Satnam Singh, who has stated that he along with Gurpreet Singh, when returning to their house on motorcycle, which belongs to Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi and complainant was sitting on the back seat. On the way, Kala Singh and Bau sons of, Malkit Singh hit their motorcycle and stopped them. Kala made a *lalkara* that they should be taught a lesson for taking their work. Bau, armed with *Datar*, attacked the complainant on left leg, forehead and Kala gave fist blows on his back. Many people gathered there and, thereafter, the accused ran away with their weapon. Gurpreet Singh took the complainant to the hospital.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the doctor has reported injury No.1 as grievous in nature, without any basis. Counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that this is the injury on the knee of the victim and as such the petitioner is entitled to bail. It is further stated that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case at the instance of Sarpanch-Surinder Pal Singh as he is having litigation with one Narinder Singh @ Kala and some other litigation is also pending between them.

In reply, the learned State counsel, assisted by the counsel for the complainant, has stated that the petitioner has caused three injuries to the victim, out of which one was declared grievous in nature and is attributed to the petitioner. Learned State counsel has placed on record the opinion of the doctor vide which the injury No.1 was declared grievous in nature. Learned State counsel further submits that there is no litigation of the petitioner with the Sarpanch and the allegations are levelled only for the sake of obtaining the bail.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties; considering the fact that the petitioner had caused grievous injury to the complainant with a *data*, I find no ground to grant the anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, the present petition is dismissed.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

April 21, 2022
satish

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No