

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-15497-2022

Date of Decision: April 25, 2022

Sunny Yadav

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Puneet Malik, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 13.04.2022, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 13.04.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Arvind Kumar and submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is no more required. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

3(a) However, learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Given the nature of allegations and also the facts and circumstances peculiar to this case, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner makes a case for release on bail.

5. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 13.04.2022, is hereby made absolute.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

April 25, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No