

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

122

**CWP-8952-2022**

Date of decision : 29.04.2022.

Jagdish Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

**CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the previous service of the petitioner has erroneously not been counted towards his service benefits although the recommendation had been made by respondents No.2 and 3. He submits that the petitioner has sent a representation dated 05.03.2022 (Annexure P-29) in this regard to the respondents and contends that the petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the same in a time bound manner.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Heard.

Without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 05.03.2022 (Annexure P-29), in accordance with law, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**April 29, 2022**  
sonia gugnani

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | : | Yes/No |