

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16034-2022

Date of decision : 19.05.2022

Sunil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Raman Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to respondent No.2 for taking appropriate legal action against respondent Nos.4 to 8 and with further prayer to decide the complaint dated 08.11.2021 sent to respondent No.2 on 13.11.2021 (Annexure P-1).

On 20.04.2022, this Court had passed the following order on the arguments raised by learned counsel for the petitioner:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case, the petitioner is praying only for a limited relief to the effect that final decision regarding recommendation dated 13.11.2021 be taken. He has further submitted that he withdraws the prayer made with respect to registration of an FIR against the private respondents.

Learned State Counsel seeks a short adjournment to get instructions so as to apprise the Court about the latest

position with respect to recommendation dated 13.11.2021.

Adjourned to 06.05.2022.”

In pursuance of the said order, learned counsel for the State has got the instructions and on 17.05.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the State has pointed out that the proceedings are now pending before respondent No.2 and a letter has been sent to Tehsildar, Kaithal for report regarding which, a reminder has also been given on 23.02.2022 and the case is now fixed before respondent No.2 for 25.05.2022 for consideration of the said report and thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that arguing counsel for the petitioner is not available today and thus, prays for a short adjournment.

Adjourned to 19.05.2022.

It is made clear that no further request for an adjournment shall be entertained.”

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may kindly be disposed of as such with liberty to the petitioner to take up all the points as raised in the present petition and available to him before respondent No.2.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty aforesaid.

19.05.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No