

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

136

C.W.P. No 9679 of 2022

Date of Decision:- 09.05.2022

RAKESH MALIK AND ANR

....Petitioners

vs.

ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY AND ORS

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

**Present:- Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are aggrieved because the *Sanad* was allegedly issued without effecting service upon them.

Considering the fact that equally efficacious remedy of filing a revision under Section 16 of the Punjab Land Revenue Act, 1887 is available, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to avail the alternative remedy.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforementioned.

In case an issue of limitation arises, the same shall be considered sympathetically.

**May 09, 2022
poonam**

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No