

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

252

CRM-M-25384-2016

Date of Decision:16.08.2022

Nirmal Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Harkirat Singh Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate for
Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate for respondents No.3 to 6.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Aggrieved by the acceptance of the cancellation report dated 18.01.2016 (Annexure P-11) without affording him opportunity to file protest petition, the petitioner has come up before this Court under Section 482 CrPC.

The controversy is small and the same can be resolved simply remanding back the matter to the concerned Judicial Magistrate, who shall decide afresh after affording opportunity to the complainant who is now aware of such cancellation report.

The complainant as well as the accused are directed to appear before the concerned Magistrate on 05.09.2022. In case, any of the party could not appear on 05.09.2022, then they are permitted to appear on 12.09.2022 and not beyond that. The concerned Magistrate shall decide the matter by affording appropriate opportunity to the complainant who is father of the victim.

Petition is disposed of in the terms mentioned above.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

16.08.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No