

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 8350 of 2022
Date of decision: 25.04.2022**

Jitin Kumar and another

..... Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Parmender Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to the respondents to allot them workload as per the norms of the respondent-University.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are Part Time Teachers and as per the recommendations of the Workload Assessment Committee, they are required to be allotted minimum 20 hours per week. He has referred to the tabulated chart which has been prepared on the basis of the time table in paragraph No. 8 of the petition. In support of his submissions, he contends that some Assistant Professors are even allocated 17 hours instead of 16 hours as recommended by the Workload Assessment Committee.

Heard. The petitioners are Part Time Teachers. The case of the petitioners is based on the recommendations of the Workload Assessment Committee wherein minimum workload has been specified. The minimum workload of the Assistant Professors has been set out as 16 hours. There is no requirement of any maximum workload and if some Assistant Professors

are working for 17 hours, it cannot be faulted. The Junior Research Fellows are stated to have been allocated work in excess of the minimum requirement which also cannot be faulted. It is entirely up to the respondent-University to see as to who are the teachers who would be taking the lectures in various subjects. The academic standards have to be maintained by the respondent-University in its endeavour to strive for excellence.

A perusal of the petition along with the material on record does not indicate that the action of the respondent-University is in any manner arbitrary or discriminatory which would warrant interference by this Court while exercising writ jurisdiction. Therefore, I do not find any merit in this petition which stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

25.04.2022

Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No