

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.16611 of 2021

Date of Decision: 10th February, 2022.

Vinod

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition, while submitting that the petitioner would be surrendering before the concerned trial Court within one week positively and would be moving an application there for seeking the relief of regular bail and he further prays that the trial Court be directed to decide the said application within some specific time frame.

Learned State counsel has no objection to the above-discussed submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn. However, in case the petitioner surrenders before the

concerned Court below within the period of one week from today and moves any application there for seeking the relief of regular bail, the same be decided within two days positively.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

10.02.2022.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No