

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

CRM-M-15868-2022

Date of Decision:04.08.2022

Raminder Singh Khalsa

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Srishti Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Gaurav Datta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Simranjeet Singh Sarwara, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Aggrieved by the grant of bail to respondents No.2 & 3 by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali vide order dated 29.03.2022 (P-3), the complainant (petitioner herein) had come up before this Court seeking cancellation of bail.

Learned counsel for the complainant argues that without effecting the recovery the concerned Court had granted the bail and even appropriate opportunity was not given to the complainant. Although this is not ground for seeking cancellation of bail, but certainly the recovery should have been effected.

Given above, further investigation be carried out and petitioner is directed to join the investigation by reporting to the concerned police station on 16.08.2022 and 17.08.2022 at 10 am. In case the accused fails to remain present in the concerned police station, it shall be open for the complainant (petitioner herein) to file an application for cancellation of bail

and on this ground alone, the bail will be cancelled.

Petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations. Liberty reserved to file a separate petition for cancellation of bail.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

04.08.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No