

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 3318 of 2022

Date of Decision: 26th April, 2022

Sushma Rani and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Raina Godara, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate for respondents No. 5 and 6.
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for respondent No. 8.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition in the nature of mandamus was filed seeking directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 for protecting the life and liberty of the petitioners. Further prayer was that the representation dated 10.2.2022 was not being decided.

The State has filed reply dated 22.4.2022, taken on record, copy supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners. As per the reply filed, the petitioners were called by the police authorities with regard to the representation dated 10.2.2022 but the petitioners never appeared, the matter was closed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, however, fairly submits that during the pendency of the present petition, an application under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. was filed and the Magistrate has ordered for registration of the FIR.

In view of the reply filed and the subsequent development, no

further directions are called for.

The petition is disposed of. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of the surviving grievances, if any.

26th April, 2022

mk

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes / No
2. Whether reportable

:

Yes / No