

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8253-2022

Date of Decision: 22.04.2022

Amarjit Singh Sidhu

..... Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Harmanpreet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, claim of the petitioner is that one Jang Singh, who was junior to the petitioner at the time of joining as Line Superintendent with the respondent-Corporation, was getting higher pay than the petitioner, though the petitioner had got the promotion in the cadre of Assistant Engineer in the year 1995, after said Jang Singh, but keeping in view the settled principle of law as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, while passing judgment in *“Ajit Singh Januja and others Vs. State of Punjab and others”, 1996(2) SCC 715*, the petitioner regained seniority over said Jang Singh and is entitled for the salary equivalent to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has also raised the same grievance before respondent No.1, by serving representation dated 01.02.2022 (Annexure P-3), which is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned and the petitioner will be

satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to respondent

No.1 to decide the said representation dated 01.02.2022, by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harsimranpreet Singh, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection, in case prayer made hereinbefore on behalf of the petitioner for deciding the representation dated 01.02.2022 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner is accepted.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case and without going into merits of the claim as raised by the petitioner in the present case as well as in the representation dated 01.02.2022, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.1 to pass an appropriate speaking order on the representation dated 01.02.2022 (Annexure P-3), filed by the petitioner, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

22.04.2022*Apurva***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
2. Whether reportable : No