

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

114

CWP No.8804 of 2022

Date of Decision: 21.07.2022

Mohinder Lal

....Petitioner

Versus

Financial Commissioner Revenue, Punjab and others

....Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: Mr. Umesh Kumar Kanwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J.(Oral)

By this petition filed under the provisions of Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks a direction to the respondents to decide his representation dated 20.05.2019 (Annexure P-8) and allot a plot to the petitioner in the same manner in which plots have been allotted to three other persons as are named in the summary prayer clause itself.

Learned counsel for the petitioner however submits that, at this stage, petitioner would be satisfied if a decision is taken on his representation dated 20.05.2019 (Annexure P-9) by the competent authority amongst the respondents, by passing a speaking order, within a specified period.

Consequently, without making any comment whatsoever on the actual merits of the case, petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the

representation dated 20.05.2019 (Annexure P-8), within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, by passing a speaking order after hearing the petitioner.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

21.07.2022
D.Gulati

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No